



Lecture 24: Poincaré duality



Definition

A **topological manifold** of dimension n , or a topological n -manifold, is a Hausdorff space in which each point has an open neighborhood homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n .

In this section, a manifold always means a topological manifold. We assume $n > 0$. For any point $x \in X$, there exists an open neighborhood U and a homeomorphism $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. (U, ϕ) is called a **chart** around x .



Orientation



Definition

Let X be a n -manifold. $x \in X$ be a point. A generator of

$$H_n(X, X - x) \simeq H_n(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n - 0) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$$

is called a **local orientation** of X at x .



For any $x \in X$, there are two choices of local orientation at x . We obtain a two-sheet cover

$$\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$$

where

$$\tilde{X} = \{(x, \mu_x) \mid \mu_x \text{ is a local orientation of } X \text{ at } x\}.$$

Here π is the natural projection $(x, \mu_x) \rightarrow x$.



Definition

A (global) **orientation** of X is a section of $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, i.e., a continuous map

$$s : X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$$

such that

$$\pi \circ s = 1_X.$$

If an orientation exists, we say X is **orientable**.



Theorem

Let X be a connected manifold. Then X is orientable if and only if \tilde{X} has two connected components. In particular, a connected orientable manifold has precisely two orientations.



Example

A simply connected manifold is orientable. This is because the covering of a simply connected space must be a trivial covering.



Proposition

Let X be connected non-orientable manifold. Then \tilde{X} is connected orientable.

Proof.

X is non-orientable implies that \tilde{X} has only one connected component. Since $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a covering map, it is a local diffeomorphism and induces an isomorphism

$$H_n(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X} - \tilde{x}) = H_n(X, X - x), \quad x = \pi(\tilde{x}).$$

In particular, we have a canonical section

$$s : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}, \quad \tilde{x} = (x, \mu_x) \rightarrow (\tilde{x}, \mu_x).$$

This shows that \tilde{X} is connected orientable. □



Fundamental class





Definition

Let X be an n -manifold. A **fundamental class** of X at a subspace $A \subset X$ is an element $s \in H_n(X, X - A)$ whose image

$$H_n(X, X - A) \rightarrow H_n(X, X - x)$$

defines a local orientation for each $x \in A$. When $A = X$, $s \in H_n(X)$ is called a fundamental class of X .

Our next goal is to show that there exists a canonical fundamental class for each compact subset of an oriented manifold.



Example

Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed ball. Then

$$H_n(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n - B) = H_n(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n - x), \quad \forall x \in B.$$

A generator of $H_n(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n - B)$ defines a fundamental class of \mathbb{R}^n at B .



Lemma

Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open. Then

$$H_i(U) = 0, \quad \forall i \geq n.$$

Proof: Let $\alpha \in S_i(U)$ represent an element of $[\alpha] \in H_i(U)$. Let $K \subset U$ be a compact subset such that $\text{Supp}(\alpha) \subset K$. Equip \mathbb{R}^n with a CW structure in terms of small enough cubes such that

$$K \subset L \subset U$$

where L is a finite CW subcomplex.



We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{i+1}(\mathbb{R}^n, L) & \longrightarrow & H_{i+1}(\mathbb{R}^n, U) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_i(L) & \longrightarrow & H_i(U) \end{array}$$

By construction, $[\alpha] \in H_i(U)$ lies in the image of $H_i(L)$. But $H_{i+1}(\mathbb{R}^n, L) \simeq H_{i+1}^{cell}(\mathbb{R}^n, L) = 0$ for $i \geq n$.





Lemma

Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open. Then the natural map

$$H_n(\mathbb{R}^n, U) \rightarrow \prod_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n - U} H_n(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n - x)$$

is injective.

Proof: This is equivalent to the injectivity of

$$\tilde{H}_{n-1}(U) \rightarrow \prod_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n - U} \tilde{H}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n - x).$$



Let α be a $(n-1)$ -chain representing a class $[\alpha]_U$ in $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(U)$ which is sent to zero in the above map.

We can choose a big open cube B and finite small closed cubes D_1, \dots, D_N such that D_i is not a subset of U and

$$\text{Supp}(\alpha) \subset B - D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_N \subset U.$$

Then α represents a class

$$[\alpha] \in \tilde{H}_{n-1}(B - D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_N) \simeq H_n(B, B - D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_N).$$



By assumption, it is mapped to zero

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_{n-1}(B - D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_N) &\rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(B - D_i) \\ \alpha &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

in each

$$\tilde{H}_{n-1}(B - D_i) \simeq H_n(B, B - D_i) \simeq H_n(B, B - x_i), \quad x_i \in D_i - U.$$

We next show that

$$[\alpha] = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \tilde{H}_{n-1}(B - D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_N)$$

hence $[\alpha]_U = 0$ in $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(U)$. This would prove the required injectivity.



Consider the Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$\tilde{H}_n(V) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(B-D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_N) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(B-D_2 \cup \cdots \cup D_N) \oplus \tilde{H}_{n-1}(B-D_1)$$

where $V = (B - D_2 \cup \cdots \cup D_N) \cup (B - D_1)$ is open in \mathbb{R}^n .

We have $\tilde{H}_n(V) = 0$. So

$$H_{n-1}(B-D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_N) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(B-D_2 \cup \cdots \cup D_N) \oplus \tilde{H}_{n-1}(B-D_1)$$

is an injection. It follows that

$$[\alpha] \text{ is zero in } H_{n-1}(B - D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_N)$$

if and only if its image in $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(B - D_2 \cup \cdots \cup D_N)$ is zero.

Repeating this process, we find $[\alpha] = 0$. □



Theorem

Let X be a connected n -manifold. For any abelian group G ,

$$\begin{cases} H_i(X; G) = 0 & i > n \\ H_n(X; G) = 0 & \text{if } X \text{ is noncompact.} \end{cases}$$

Proof: We prove the case for $G = \mathbb{Z}$. General G is similar.

Step 1: $X = U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open subset. This is proved before.



Step 2: $X = U \cup V$ where U open is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n and V open satisfies the statement in the theorem.

Consider the Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$\tilde{H}_i(U) \oplus \tilde{H}_i(V) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_i(U \cup V) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{i-1}(U \cap V) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{i-1}(U) \oplus \tilde{H}_{i-1}(V)$$

For $i > n$, we find $H_i(U \cap V) = 0$ by Step 1 since $V \cap U$ can be viewed as an open subset in \mathbb{R}^n .

Assume $X = U \cup V$ is not compact. We need to show

$$\tilde{H}_{n-1}(U \cap V) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(V)$$

is injective.



Given $x \in X$, the noncompactness and connectedness of X implies that any simplex $\sigma : \Delta^n \rightarrow U \cup V$ is homotopic to another singular chain which does not meet x . This implies that

$$H_n(U \cup V) \rightarrow H_n(U \cup V, U \cup V - x)$$

is zero map for any $x \in X$.



Consider the commutative diagram, where $x \in U - U \cap V$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & H_n(U \cup V) & & & & & \\
 & \downarrow & \searrow & & & & \\
 H_n(U \cup V, U \cup V - x) & \longleftarrow & H_n(U \cup V, U \cap V) & \longleftarrow & H_n(V, U \cap V) & & \\
 \downarrow \cong & & \uparrow & \searrow & \downarrow & & \\
 H_n(U, U - x) & \longleftarrow & H_n(U, U \cap V) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{H}_{n-1}(U \cap V) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & \tilde{H}_{n-1}(V) & &
 \end{array}$$

Let $\alpha \in H_n(U, U \cap V)$ maps to $\ker(\tilde{H}_{n-1}(U \cap V) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-1}(V))$.
 Diagram chasing implies that α maps to zero in $H_n(U, U - x)$ for any $x \in U - U \cap V$. Since x is arbitrary, this implies $\alpha = 0$ by the previous lemma.



Step 3: General case. Let $\alpha \in S_i(X)$ representing a class in $H_i(X)$. We can choose finite coordinate charts U_1, \dots, U_N such that

$$\text{Supp}(\alpha) \subset U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_N.$$

Then the class of α lies in the image of the map

$$H_i(U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_N) \rightarrow H_i(X).$$

We only need to prove the theorem for $U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_N$. This follows from Step 2 and induction on N . \square



Theorem

Let X be an oriented n -manifold, $K \subset X$ be compact. Then

- (1) $H_i(X, X - K) = 0$ for any $i > n$.
- (2) The orientation of X defines a unique fundamental class of X at K .

In particular, if X is compact, then there exists a unique fundamental class of X associated to the orientation.



Proof

Step 1: K is a compact subset inside a coordinate chart $U \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$.

$$H_i(X, X - K) \simeq H_i(U, U - K) \simeq \tilde{H}_{i-1}(U - K) = 0 \quad i > n.$$

Take a big enough ball B such that $K \subset B \subset U$. The orientation of X at the local chart U determines an element of

$$H_n(X, X - B) = H_n(U, U - B)$$

which maps to the required fundamental class of X at K .



Step 2: $K = K_1 \cup K_2$ where $K_1, K_2, K_1 \cap K_2$ satisfy (1)(2).

Using Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$H_{i+1}(X, X - K_1 \cap K_2) \rightarrow H_i(X, X - K_1 \cup K_2) \rightarrow H_i(X, X - K_1) \oplus H_i(X, X - K_2) \rightarrow H_i(X, X - K_1 \cap K_2)$$

we see K satisfies (1).

The unique fundamental classes at K_1 and K_2 map to the unique fundamental class at $K_1 \cap K_2$, giving rise to a unique fundamental class at $K_1 \cup K_2$ by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_n(X, X - K_1 \cup K_2) \rightarrow H_n(X, X - K_1) \oplus H_n(X, X - K_2) \rightarrow H_n(X, X - K_1 \cap K_2)$$



Step 3: For arbitrary K , it is covered by a finite number of coordinates charts $\{U_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq N}$. Let $K_i = K \cap U_i$. Then

$$K = K_1 \cup \cdots \cup K_N.$$

The theorem holds for K by induction on N and Step 1, 2. □



Poincaré duality



Definition

Let \mathcal{K} denote the set of compact subspaces of X . We define **compactly supported cohomology** of X by

$$H_c^k(X) := \operatorname{colim}_{K \in \mathcal{K}} H^k(X, X - K)$$

where the colimit is taken with respect to the homomorphisms

$$H^k(X, X - K_1) \rightarrow H^k(X, X - K_2)$$

for $K_1 \subset K_2$ compact. In particular, if X is compact, then

$$H_c^k(X) = H^k(X).$$



Recall that a map is called **proper** if the pre-image of a compact set is compact.

The functorial structure of compactly supported cohomology is with respect to the proper maps: let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be proper, then

$$f^* : H_c^k(Y) \rightarrow H_c^k(X).$$



Example

Let $X = \mathbb{R}^n$. Consider the sequence of compact subspaces $B_1 \subset B_2 \subset B_3 \subset \dots$, where B_k is the closed ball of radius k . Any compact subspace is contained in some ball. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} H_c^i(\mathbb{R}^n) &= \operatorname{colim}_k H^i(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n - B_k) = \operatorname{colim}_k \tilde{H}^{i-1}(\mathbb{R}^n - B_k) \\ &= \tilde{H}^{i-1}(S^{n-1}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & i = n \\ 0 & i \neq n \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$



Theorem

Let $X = U \cup V$ where U, V open. Then we have the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_c^k(U \cap V) \rightarrow H_c^k(U) \oplus H_c^k(V) \rightarrow H_c^k(X) \rightarrow H_c^{k+1}(U \cap V) \rightarrow \cdots$$



Let X be an oriented n -manifold. For each compact K , let $\xi_K \in H_n(X, X - K)$ be the fundamental class determined by the previous Theorem.

Taking the cap product we find

$$D_K : H^p(X, X - K) \xrightarrow{\cap \xi_K} H_{n-p}(X).$$

This passes to the colimit and induces a map

$$D : H_c^p(X) \rightarrow H_{n-p}(X).$$



Theorem (Poincaré Duality)

Let X be an oriented n -manifold. Then for any p ,

$$D : H_c^p(X) \rightarrow H_{n-p}(X)$$

is an isomorphism. In particular, if X is compact then

$$H^p(X) \simeq H_{n-p}(X).$$



Proof

First we observe that if the theorem holds for open U , V and $U \cap V$, then the theorem holds for $U \cup V$.

This follows from Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \longrightarrow & H_c^k(U \cap V) & \longrightarrow & H_c^k(U) \oplus H_c^k(V) & \longrightarrow & H_c^k(U \cup V) & \longrightarrow & H_c^{k+1}(U \cap V) & \longrightarrow \\
 & \downarrow D & & \downarrow D \oplus D & & \downarrow D & & \downarrow D & \\
 \longrightarrow & H_{n-k}(U \cap V) & \longrightarrow & H_{n-k}(U) \oplus H_{n-k}(V) & \longrightarrow & H_{n-k}(U \cup V) & \longrightarrow & H_{n-k-1}(U \cap V) & \longrightarrow
 \end{array}$$



We prove a special case of the theorem when X has a finite open cover U_i such that any intersection of U_i 's is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n . This works for a large class of smooth manifolds where we can use distance to choose convex subset of local charts.

Then the theorem follows by the previous observation. □